Meta-analysis on *Plasmodium falciparum* sulfadoxinepyrimethamine resistance-conferring mutations in India identifies hot spots for genetic surveillance

(),

Sinha Abhinav¹, Kar Sonalika¹, Chauhan Charu¹, Yadav CP², Kori Lokesh¹ ¹ICMR-National Institute of Malaria Research, New Delhi, India ²ICMR-National Institute of Cancer Prevention and Research, NOIDA, India Correspondence: abhinavsinha@icmr.gov.in



Take home threats!!

- This exhaustive spatiotemporal meta-analyses highlight the need for surveillance of SP-resistance markers in India
- Certain areas (hot spots) warrant prioritised molecular surveillance for PfDHFR (dihydrofolate reductase) & PfDHPS (dihydropteroate synthase) mutations
- The key question is whether there is a need for another antimalarial treatment policy change from AS+SP (artesunate+SP) to AL (artemether+lumefantrine) across India
- The decision needs to be made sooner rather than later

Left: District map of India showing SP resistance marker hot spots (created with https://gramener.com/map/). Data encapsulated here are based on the prevalence of Pfdhfr+Pfdhps WHO-validated SP-resistance markers across the country. The criterion for classifying a district as a hot spot for a particular mutation was prevalence of the mutation \geq lower bound of the 95% CI of the pooled estimate for the country. Hence, the threshold is prevalence $\geq 4\%$ for single, \geq 32% for double, \geq 2% for triple, >0% for quadruple, and \geq 2% for quintuple and sextuple mutations. The hot spot districts are organised into 5 clusters (A-E, from east to west) based on the presence of the mutations conferring the highest order of resistance. Cluster A (sextuple and below), Cluster B (quintuple and below), Cluster C (triple and below), Cluster D (quadruple and below), Cluster E (double)

AS

Geneva

Health

Forum

Background

- India is on track to eliminate malaria by 2030 but emerging resistance to first-line antimalarials is a recognised threat
- With delayed parasite clearance by artemisinin, high SP efficacy (and low burden of SP resistance markers) is essential to prevent AS+SP therapeutic failure
- There is a need to systematically monitor the validated mutations in Pfdhfr and Pfdhps genes across India alongside AS+SP therapeutic efficacy studies
- There has been no robust, systematic countrywide surveillance reported for these parameters in India, hence the current study was undertaken

Approach and Analysis

- Studies that reported data on WHO-validated SP resistance markers in P. falciparum across India from 2008 to January 2023 were included
- Five major databases (PubMed, Web of Science, Scopus, Embase, and Google Scholar) were exhaustively searched
- Individual and pooled prevalence estimates of mutations were obtained through random- and fixed-effect models
- Data are depicted using forest plots created with a 95% confidence interval and prevalence trends



Search; ACT: Artemisinin-

CQ: Chloroquine.

study

based combination therapy;

Right: Data collection sites

that were included in this

from various districts in India





Results



0.06 [0.03; 0.10]

0.1 0.2 0.3

Random effects mode

Heterogeneity: $l^2 = 95\%$, $t^2 = 0.6419$, p < 0.0

0.42 [0.32; 0.54]

0 0.2 0.4 0.6 0.8